

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

GREGORY SLATE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**AMERICAN BROADCASTING
COMPANIES, INC., ET AL.,**

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 09-1761 (BAH/DAR)

DECLARATION EXHIBITS OF NATHAN SIEGEL

[Exhibit S28](#)

[Exhibit S33](#)

[Exhibit S29](#)

[Exhibit S34](#)

[Exhibit S30](#)

[Exhibit S35](#)

[Exhibit S31](#)

[Exhibit S36](#)

[Exhibit S32](#)

[Exhibit S37](#)

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S28

From: Diop Kamau <dkamau@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2007 1:15 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>
Subject: RE:

Tues morning works for me. Anytime 8 am on is ok for me

-----Original Message-----

From: Ruppel, Glenn A [mailto:Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com]
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2007 11:48 AM
To: Kamau
Subject: RE:

Can we talk today or tomorrow morning? I have to meet with our standards and practices department tomorrow so it will help for me to be better prepared. Thanks.

- Glenn

From: Kamau [mailto:dkamau@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 17, 2007 3:36 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject: RE:

Were in, let's talk soon

At 06:32 PM 5/17/2007 +0000, you wrote:

Sorry to take so long getting back to you.... are you still game to try this in Chicago? If so I think I can get the ok to shoot soon.

- Glenn

From: Kamau [mailto:dkamau@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2007 2:33 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject: RE:

Cool, let me know when you know something

At 02:14 PM 4/3/2007 -0400, you wrote:

There have also been a few cases of drunk off duty cops getting into terrible car accidents here in NY, so there's that angle too. I'll pitch it and see if they're interested. Thanks for thinking of me!

- Glenn

From: Kamau [mailto:dkamau@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2007 2:06 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject: RE:

I don't know, we have not checked into Indiana. However my idea was not to limit the test to Illinois. Police are police and the rules are the same for every jurisdiction. Cops are not allowed to engage in unprofessional conduct when their off-duty. While the language might be different depending on the department they are all going to basically have a similar policy concerning off-duty conduct. Getting drunk and driving home or drinking in excess at a bar or restaurant will be a direct violation of policy guaranteed, regardless of where we do the test. If we chose bars in Los Angeles and bars in Georgia frequented by police officers the first second and third cop we'd caught on tape behaving inappropriately would all be guilty of an offense in their respective agencies. The policies are uniform pretty much across the country with respect to off-duty behavior. My point is that we would shoot our footage in two or three video-legal spots over the country and draw from existing videos of cops behaving inappropriately off-duty. This would be tied back to the Chicago story where you would reflect on what happened there. We don't have to shoot anything in Chicago or even in Illinois for that matter. I think the public will get the point that minimum standards for police officers rightfully require that they act respectably even when their off-duty regardless of what state they are in. I think the public has a minimal set of expectations regarding how any police officer might behave on duty or off.

At 12:54 PM 4/3/2007 -0400, you wrote:

Diop,

Unfortunately Illinois is a 2-party state, so we would not be able to record audio. Are any of the bars across the nearby state line in Indiana?

- Glenn

From: Kamau [<mailto:dkamau@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2007 12:48 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject:

Glenn:

we have been considering a story for some time that we thought you might be interested in. You may have heard about the Chicago police Superintendent resigning over the beating given to a female bartender by one of his employees. Apparently there is a second videotape of Chicago police and another bar fight equally disturbing. We want to send in hidden cameras into bars frequented by police officers to document excessive drinking and inappropriate behavior. Of course we would supply the investigators if ABC can assist with the costs. Let me know if you are interested if not we will do it anyway and I will let you know what the results are when we finish. You may watch the video of the beating by going to U tube at the link below

<http://youtube.com/watch?v=fI-auynxWLk>

Diop Kamau <http://www.policeabuse.org/diop-kamau-biography/>
Executive Director
The Police Complaint Center
1220 L. Street NW Suite100-164 Washington, DC 20005

To file a complaint go here:

<https://www.eomniform.com/servlet/FillForm/dkamau/cmpfom>

We will analyze your complaint and format a letter to the police

Diop Kamau <http://www.policeabuse.org/diop-kamau-biography/>

Executive Director

The Police Complaint Center

1220 L. Street NW Suite100-164 Washington, DC 20005

To file a complaint go here:

<https://www.eomniform.com/servlet/FillForm/dkamau/cmpfom>

We will analyze your complaint and format a letter to the police

Diop Kamau <http://www.policeabuse.org/diop-kamau-biography/>

Executive Director

The Police Complaint Center

1220 L. Street NW Suite100-164 Washington, DC 20005

To file a complaint go here:

<https://www.eomniform.com/servlet/FillForm/dkamau/cmpfom>

We will analyze your complaint and format a letter to the police

Diop Kamau <http://www.policeabuse.org/diop-kamau-biography/>

Executive Director

The Police Complaint Center

1220 L. Street NW Suite100-164 Washington, DC 20005

To file a complaint go here:

<https://www.eomniform.com/servlet/FillForm/dkamau/cmpfom>

We will analyze your complaint and format a letter to the police

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S29

From: Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 22, 2007 5:17 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>
Subject: RE:

Law student and retired cop (both true)

If it looks dangerous we just report it as regular citizens that saw a dangerous driver. In fact we should do this anyway to see what happens. I'll bet that the cops making the stop give him a pass at least half the time. This is just as illegal as the driving.

We can see how it goes but I would err on the side of caution and public safety. We have to call in anything that looks dangerous. I don't think it will hurt the story at all.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ruppel, Glenn A
Sent: 5/22/2007 5:09 PM
To: Kamau
Subject: RE:

I know we briefly discussed this one point today, but I'm not clear from my notes on the details: if your people in the bar are asked who they are, what answer would they give?

Also, what do you suggest doing if we witness dangerous behavior -- for instance if we are following the car of a very drunk cop and he is endangering others, I assume we have a responsibility to somehow report this-- but hopefully do it in a way that doesn't blow our cover.

From: Kamau [<mailto:dkamau@comcast.net>]
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2007 5:38 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject: RE:

No problem, and yes you are correct simply shooting video of the number of drinks and the driving pattern afterward is solid,

At 05:06 PM 4/13/2007 -0400, you wrote:

Sorry to take so long in getting back to you. We took another look at the Illinois law, and unfortunately it's one of the tighter ones around. So we'd have to record video only. I'm going to talk further with them here to see if that is still ok. I figure it might be, since we could also follow cops leaving the bar and getting into their cars when clearly drunk..

- Glenn

ABC00170

From: Kamau [<mailto:dkamau@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2007 2:33 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject: RE:

Cool, let me know when you know something

At 02:14 PM 4/3/2007 -0400, you wrote:

There have also been a few cases of drunk off duty cops getting into terrible car accidents here in NY, so there's that angle too. I'll pitch it and see if they're interested. Thanks for thinking of me!

- Glenn

From: Kamau [<mailto:dkamau@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2007 2:06 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject: RE:

I don't know, we have not checked into Indiana. However my idea was not to limit the test to Illinois. Police are police and the rules are the same for every jurisdiction. Cops are not allowed to engage in unprofessional conduct when their off-duty. While the language might be different depending on the department they are all going to basically have a similar policy concerning off-duty conduct. Getting drunk and driving home or drinking in excess at a bar or restaurant will be a direct violation of policy guaranteed, regardless of where we do the test. If we chose bars in Los Angeles and bars in Georgia frequented by police officers the first second and third cop we'd caught on tape behaving inappropriately would all be guilty of an offense in their respective agencies. The policies are uniform pretty much across the country with respect to off-duty behavior. My point is that we would shoot our footage in two or three video-legal spots over the country and draw from existing videos of cops behaving inappropriately off-duty. This would be tied back to the Chicago story where you would reflect on what happened there. We don't have to shoot anything in Chicago or even in Illinois for that matter. I think the public will get the point that minimum standards for police officers rightfully require that they act respectably even when their off-duty regardless of what state they are in. I think the public has a minimal set of expectations regarding how any police officer might behave on duty or off.

At 12:54 PM 4/3/2007 -0400, you wrote:

Diop,

Unfortunately Illinois is a 2-party state, so we would not be able to record audio. Are any of the bars across the nearby state line in Indiana?

- Glenn

From: Kamau [<mailto:dkamau@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2007 12:48 PM

To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject:

Glenn:

we have been considering a story for some time that we thought you might be interested in. You may have heard about the Chicago police Superintendent resigning over the beating given to a female bartender by one of his employees. Apparently there is a second videotape of Chicago police and another bar fight equally disturbing. We want to send in hidden cameras into bars frequented by police officers to document excessive drinking and inappropriate behavior. Of course we would supply the investigators if ABC can assist with the costs. Let me know if you are interested if not we will do it anyway and I will let you know what the results are when we finish. You may watch the video of the beating by going to U tube at the link below

<http://youtube.com/watch?v=FI-auynxWLk>

Diop Kamau <http://www.policeabuse.org/diop-kamau-biography/>
Executive Director
The Police Complaint Center
1220 L. Street NW Suite100-164 Washington, DC 20005

To file a complaint go here:
<https://www.eomniform.com/servlet/FillForm/dkamau/cmpfom>
We will analyze your complaint and format a letter to the police

Diop Kamau <http://www.policeabuse.org/diop-kamau-biography/>
Executive Director
The Police Complaint Center
1220 L. Street NW Suite100-164 Washington, DC 20005

To file a complaint go here:
<https://www.eomniform.com/servlet/FillForm/dkamau/cmpfom>
We will analyze your complaint and format a letter to the police

Diop Kamau <http://www.policeabuse.org/diop-kamau-biography/>
Executive Director
The Police Complaint Center
1220 L. Street NW Suite100-164 Washington, DC 20005

To file a complaint go here:
<https://www.eomniform.com/servlet/FillForm/dkamau/cmpfom>
We will analyze your complaint and format a letter to the police

Diop Kamau <http://www.policeabuse.org/diop-kamau-biography/>
Executive Director
The Police Complaint Center
1220 L. Street NW Suite 100-164 Washington, DC 20005

To file a complaint go here:

<https://www.eomniiform.com/servlet/FillForm/dkamau/cmpfom>

We will analyze your complaint and format a letter to the police

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S30

From: Diop Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2007 12:42 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>
Cc: Greg Slate <gslate@policeabuse.org>
Subject: RE: The latest

I am traveling and got your email on my phone. I will call, email or have Greg get back with you by morning. I think I can answer all the concerns easily. Also, no problem for you guys to be in the bar with us.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ruppel, Glenn A" <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>
Sent: 5/23/2007 12:12:45 PM
To: Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Subject: The latest

Diop,

I just got out of a meeting where I got a tentative go-ahead, but there were some concerns. Specifically, they hope that with advance scouting of bars we can get a better idea of what we will actually be able to see and document; can we get a rough estimate of how many drinks someone has had...are there instances where someone is clearly impaired, or is finding that a 'needle in a haystack'...will we be able to tell that they are indeed cops so that we don't waste a lot of time watching civilians? If we can get more details on this through some advance legwork, they will feel more comfortable about going ahead.

Also once we do start shooting, they felt it important that I, or someone else from 20/20, be inside the bar as well with your guys.

Two other questions:

- is it worth checking out the bar where the beating incident took place?
- other than the production cost of the cameras, and other location expenses such as alcohol purchases, is there anything else we need to budget for?

Thanks,

- Glenn

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S31

Policeabuse.com

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2010 4:37 PM
To: 'policeabuse.pcc@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Police Investigation

From: Greg Slate, Police Complaint Center [mailto:gslate@policeabuse.org]
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2007 2:41 PM
To: Kamau
Cc: Kamau
Subject: Fw: Police Investigation

----- Original Message -----

From: [Greg Slate, Police Complaint Center](#)
To: Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2007 2:41 PM
Subject: Police Investigation

Glenn,

I wanted to follow-up with you on the questions you posed about the investigation after your last meeting. Give me a call when you get a chance and we will go over your questions.

Regards,
Gregory A. Slate, Director
The Police Complaint Center
1220 L Street N.W.
Suite 100-164
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: (202) 359-6406
Fax: (202) 318-8158

View our latest hidden camera investigations:
http://cbs4.com/topstories/local_story_033170755.html
http://cbs2.com/goldstein/local_story_123183858.html
<http://www.pitch.com/Issues/2006-04-27/news/strip.html>

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S32

From: Ruppel, Glenn A.
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2007 12:22 PM
To: 'Diop Kamau' <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Subject: RE: The latest

Diop,

After waiting for various people to come back from vacations, I'm close to getting this okayed. Do you think we could do some kind of 2-part agreement, 1) \$2000 to cover a week or two of scouting, then 2) if we decide to proceed after that, \$5500 more to shoot it?

- Glenn

From: Diop Kamau [mailto:kamau@policeabuse.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2007 11:25 AM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Cc: Greg Slate
Subject: RE: The latest

Glenn:

The advance scouting should substantially narrow our investigation to a few locations where the likelihood of off-duty police presence is greatest. Bars that are located near police precincts are obvious possibilities. We can also conduct surveillance at shift change to determine if officers leaving the station then head over to drinking establishments in the area. We should absolutely try the bar where the beating took place previously. As far as identifying who is an officer and who is not there is no absolute way to tell if someone is a police officer when they are off-duty unless you see a badge or other ID fixed on their belt or clothing. Nevertheless, I believe that my guys have sufficient experience to zero in on the most likely candidates in the bar. There is nothing illegal or inappropriate about actually communicating with certain patrons of the bar. My guys have no problem interacting with people. We can engage in conversations with the bar occupants and determine whether or not they are police officers. We would not encourage or interfere with the officers' drinking habits during such encounters but there is nothing wrong with socializing to some extent to get a better idea of who's in the bar and to reduce detection and suspicion among patrons.

If we zero in on someone who we believe to be a police officer we must get their vehicle plate to run a registrations check. We should be able to get their name. We can verify his employment at some later point by simply contacting the police administration human resources office and asking if they have an employee named John Smith. There would be no reason for them to deny it. Also if we ran the individual's license plate it would likely come up without a regular address. In most states police officers can register their private vehicles and restrict access to their actual address by using a state address or the address of the police department or there will be a block preventing any access beyond the registered owner's name. If a records check comes back demonstrating the plate belongs to a restricted address that is likely a car belonging to a police officer. Who knows, it is possible that we will get an officer using a city or state vehicle while visiting the bar.

As for the cost, I have worked with ABC previously and each time we have worked out a fair agreement in compensation for my time and that of my staff. Just prior to 9/11 we were scheduled to shoot a story with ABC. Our agreement was for \$7,500 with two of my staff completely available for up to one month shooting the story. I have included a prior ABC contract. I would be willing to accept similar terms to get

ABC00178

the story done. We can adjust the figures up or down depending on how long we expect to shoot etc. for now, let's agree that we are both interested in documenting this story comprehensively and carefully. Therefore, I am willing to be flexible with the use of my staff and our time. Let's come to some agreement that's fair and move this along. my suggestion is that we break the investigation into two parts. The scouting can compose a one side of the investigation and the actual surveillance and fieldwork will, once we know what we have. We can send Greg Slate up to Chicago for a couple of weeks to see what he can locate by way of police off-duty activities at bars. If Greg comes up with nothing and can't find any bars (an unlikely scenario) we can shut this down without bringing everybody else to Chicago. However if Greg can locate what we know it exists, bars were cops over drink, we move in with the camera crew and document the conduct with video. Let me know what you think as I said I am flexible.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ruppel, Glenn A" <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>

Sent: 5/23/2007 12:12:45 PM

To: Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>

Subject: The latest

Diop,

I just got out of a meeting where I got a tentative go-ahead, but there were some concerns. Specifically, they hope that with advance scouting of bars we can get a better idea of what we will actually be able to see and document; can we get a rough estimate of how many drinks someone has had...are there instances where someone is clearly impaired, or is finding that a 'needle in a haystack'...will we be able to tell that they are indeed cops so that we don't waste a lot of time watching civilians? If we can get more details on this through some advance legwork, they will feel more comfortable about going ahead.

Also once we do start shooting, they felt it important that I, or someone else from 20/20, be inside the bar as well with your guys.

Two other questions:

- is it worth checking out the bar where the beating incident took place?
- other than the production cost of the cameras, and other location expenses such as alcohol purchases, is there anything else we need to budget for?

Thanks,

- Glenn

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S33

From: Ruppel, Glenn A.
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2007 4:24 PM
To: 'Diop Kamau' <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Subject: RE: RE: The latest

ok I'll have something written up to make it official so we can finally get going!. Thanks.

From: Diop Kamau [mailto:kamau@policeabuse.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2007 4:07 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject: RE: RE: The latest

Yes no problem, That works fine for us.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ruppel, Glenn A" <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>
Sent: 6/12/2007 12:21:38 PM
To: Diop Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Subject: RE: The latest

Diop,

After waiting for various people to come back from vacations, I'm close to getting this okayed. Do you think we could do some kind of 2-part agreement, 1) \$2000 to cover a week or two of scouting, then 2)if we decide to proceed after that, \$5500 more to shoot it?

- Glenn

From: Diop Kamau [mailto:kamau@policeabuse.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2007 11:25 AM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Cc: Greg Slate
Subject: RE: The latest

Glenn:

The advance scouting should substantially narrow our investigation to a few locations where the likelihood of off-duty police presence is greatest. bars that are located near police precincts are obvious possibilities. We can also conduct surveillance at shift change to determine if officers leaving the station then head over to drinking establishments in the area. We should absolutely try the bar where the beating took place previously. as far as identifying who is an officer and who is not there is no absolute way to tell if someone is a police officer when they are off-duty unless you see a badge or other ID fixed on their belt or clothing. Nevertheless, I believe that my guys have sufficient experience to zero in on the most likely candidates in the bar. There is nothing illegal or inappropriate about actually communicating with certain patrons of the bar. my guys have no problem interacting with people. we can engage in conversations with the bar occupants and determine whether or not they are police officers. we would not encourage or interfere with the officers drinking habits during such encounters but there is nothing wrong with socializing to some extent to get a better idea of who's in the bar and to reduce detection and suspicion among patrons.

If we zero in on someone who we believe to be a police officer we must get their vehicle plate to run a registrations check. We should be able to get their name. We can verify his employment at some later point by simply contacting the police administration human resources office and asking if they have an employee named John Smith. There would be no reason for them to deny it. Also if we ran the individual's license plate it would likely come up without a regular address. In most states police officers can register their private vehicles and restrict access to their actual address by using a state address or the address of the police department or there will be a block preventing any access beyond the registered owners name. If a records check comes back demonstrating the plate belongs to a restricted address that is likely a car belonging to a police officer. Who knows, it is possible that we will get an officer using a city or state vehicle while visiting the bar.

As for the cost, I have worked with ABC previously and each time we have worked out a fair agreement in compensation for my time and that of my staff. Just prior to 9/11 we were scheduled to shoot a story with ABC. Our agreement was for \$7,500 with two of my staff completely available for up to one month shooting the story. I have included a prior abc contract. I would be willing to accept similar terms to get the story done. We can adjust the figures up or down depending on how long we expect to shoot etc. for now, let's agree that we are both interested in documenting this story comprehensively and carefully. Therefore, I am willing to be flexible with the use of my staff and our time. Let's come to some agreement that's fair and move this along. my suggestion is that we break the investigation into two parts. The scouting can compose a one side of the investigation and the actual surveillance and fieldwork will, once we know what we have. We can send Greg Slate up to Chicago for a couple of weeks to see what he can locate by way of police off-duty activities at bars. If Greg comes up with nothing and can't find any bars (an unlikely scenario) we can shut this down without bringing everybody else to Chicago. However if Greg can locate what we know it exists, bars were cops over drink, we move in with the camera crew and document the conduct with video. Let me know what you think as I said I am flexible.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ruppel, Glenn A" <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>

Sent: 5/23/2007 12:12:45 PM

To: Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>

Subject: The latest

Diop,

I just got out of a meeting where I got a tentative go-ahead, but there were some concerns. Specifically, they hope that with advance scouting of bars we can get a better idea of what we will actually be able to see and document; can we get a rough estimate of how many drinks someone has had...are there instances where someone is clearly impaired, or is finding that a 'needle in a haystack'...will we be able to tell that they are indeed cops so that we don't waste a lot of time watching civilians? If we can get more details on this through some advance legwork, they will feel more comfortable about going ahead.

Also once we do start shooting, they felt it important that I, or

someone else from 20/20, be inside the bar as well with your guys.

Two other questions:

- is it worth checking out the bar where the beating incident took place?
- other than the production cost of the cameras, and other location expenses such as alcohol purchases, is there anything else we need to budget for?

Thanks,

- Glenn

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S34

From: Ruppel, Glenn A.
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2007 11:10 AM
To: 'Diop Kamau' <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Subject: RE: RE: The latest

I'm all set to get something written up.... working on that now. Also I talked to the lawyer representing the victims of the 2nd Chicago bar beating case— good stuff there! She's going to get me a list of cop bars to help get us started.

From: Diop Kamau [mailto:kamau@policeabuse.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2007 4:07 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Subject: RE: RE: The latest

Yes no problem, That works fine for us.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ruppel, Glenn A" <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>
Sent: 6/12/2007 12:21:38 PM
To: Diop Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Subject: RE: The latest

Diop,

After waiting for various people to come back from vacations, I'm close to getting this okayed. Do you think we could do some kind of 2-part agreement, 1) \$2000 to cover a week or two of scouting, then 2)if we decide to proceed after that, \$5500 more to shoot it?

- Glenn

From: Diop Kamau [mailto:kamau@policeabuse.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2007 11:25 AM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Cc: Greg Slate
Subject: RE: The latest

Glenn:

The advance scouting should substantially narrow our investigation to a few locations where the likelihood of off-duty police presence is greatest. bars that are located near police precincts are obvious possibilities. We can also conduct surveillance at shift change to determine if officers leaving the station then head over to drinking establishments in the area. We should absolutely try the bar where the beating took place previously. as far as identifying who is an officer and who is not there is no absolute way to tell if someone is a police officer when they are off-duty unless you see a badge or other ID fixed on their belt or clothing. Nevertheless, I believe that my guys have sufficient experience to zero in on the most likely candidates in the bar. There is nothing illegal or inappropriate about actually communicating with certain patrons of the bar. my guys have no problem interacting with people. we can engage in conversations with the bar occupants and determine whether or not they are police officers. we would not encourage or interfere with the officers drinking habits during such encounters but there is nothing wrong with socializing to some extent to get a better idea of who's in the bar and to reduce detection and

suspicion among patrons.

If we zero in on someone who we believe to be a police officer we must get their vehicle plate to run a registrations check. We should be able to get their name. We can verify his employment at some later point by simply contacting the police administration human resources office and asking if they have an employee named John Smith. There would be no reason for them to deny it. Also if we ran the individual's license plate it would likely come up without a regular address. In most states police officers can register their private vehicles and restrict access to their actual address by using a state address or the address of the police department or there will be a block preventing any access beyond the registered owners name. If a records check comes back demonstrating the plate belongs to a restricted address that is likely a car belonging to a police officer. Who knows, it is possible that we will get an officer using a city or state vehicle while visiting the bar.

As for the cost, I have worked with ABC previously and each time we have worked out a fair agreement in compensation for my time and that of my staff. Just prior to 9/11 we were scheduled to shoot a story with ABC. Our agreement was for \$7,500 with two of my staff completely available for up to one month shooting the story. I have included a prior abc contract. I would be willing to accept similar terms to get the story done. We can adjust the figures up or down depending on how long we expect to shoot etc. for now, let's agree that we are both interested in documenting this story comprehensively and carefully. Therefore, I am willing to be flexible with the use of my staff and our time. Let's come to some agreement that's fair and move this along. my suggestion is that we break the investigation into two parts. The scouting can compose a one side of the investigation and the actual surveillance and fieldwork will, once we know what we have. We can send Greg Slate up to Chicago for a couple of weeks to see what he can locate by way of police off-duty activities at bars. If Greg comes up with nothing and can't find any bars (an unlikely scenario) we can shut this down without bringing everybody else to Chicago. However if Greg can locate what we know it exists, bars were cops over drink, we move in with the camera crew and document the conduct with video. Let me know what you think as I said I am flexible.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ruppel, Glenn A" <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>

Sent: 5/23/2007 12:12:45 PM

To: Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>

Subject: The latest

Diop,

I just got out of a meeting where I got a tentative go-ahead, but there were some concerns. Specifically, they hope that with advance scouting of bars we can get a better idea of what we will actually be able to see and document; can we get a rough estimate of how many drinks someone has had...are there instances where someone is clearly impaired, or is finding that a 'needle in a haystack'...will we be able to tell that they are indeed cops so that we don't waste a lot of time watching civilians? If we can get more details on this through some advance

legwork, they will feel more comfortable about going ahead.

Also once we do start shooting, they felt it important that I, or someone else from 20/20, be inside the bar as well with your guys.

Two other questions:

- is it worth checking out the bar where the beating incident took place?
- other than the production cost of the cameras, and other location expenses such as alcohol purchases, is there anything else we need to budget for?

Thanks,

- Glenn

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S35

From: Ruppel, Glenn A.
Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2007 12:17 PM
To: 'Diop Kamau' <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Cc: Greg Slate <gslate@policeabuse.org>
Subject: RE: The latest

It turns out there's a simple way to do this, since we've paid you before and all your info is in our vendor system: If you can get me an invoice for the first part of this (the scouting) for \$2000, note that is for "Production Services Rendered" and a basic description -- "scouting in Chicago for story on police and drinking"... something like that. Then if we proceed to the next stage we can do the same thing and you write up and invoice for the remaining \$5500.

Also I've gotten a few tips on cop hang-out bars to check out:

115 Bourbon Street
3359 West 115th Street
Merrionette Park, Illinois 60803
708.388.8881

Gamekeepers
345 W. Armitage
Chicago, IL 60614-4710
(773) 549-0400
Used to even be owned by cops or their wives

Hangge Uppe
14 W Elm St.
Chicago, IL 60610
312.337.0561

From: Diop Kamau [mailto:kamau@policeabuse.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2007 11:25 AM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A
Cc: Greg Slate
Subject: RE: The latest

Glenn:

The advance scouting should substantially narrow our investigation to a few locations where the likelihood of off-duty police presence is greatest. bars that are located near police precincts are obvious possibilities. We can also conduct surveillance at shift change to determine if officers leaving the station then head over to drinking establishments in the area. We should absolutely try the bar where the beating took place previously. as far as identifying who is an officer and who is not there is no absolute way to tell if someone is a police officer when they are off-duty unless you see a badge or other ID fixed on their belt or clothing. Nevertheless, I believe that my guys have sufficient experience to zero in on the most likely candidates in the bar. There is nothing illegal or inappropriate about actually communicating with certain patrons of the bar. my guys have no problem interacting with people. we can engage in conversations with the bar occupants and determine whether or not they are police officers. we would not encourage or interfere with the officers drinking habits during such encounters but there is nothing wrong with socializing to some extent to get a better idea of who's in the bar and to reduce detection and suspicion among patrons.

If we zero in on someone who we believe to be a police officer we must get their vehicle plate to run a registrations check. We should be able to get their name. We can verify his employment at some later point by simply contacting the police administration human resources office and asking if they have an employee named John Smith. There would be no reason for them to deny it. Also if we ran the individual's license plate it would

ABC00198

likely come up without a regular address. In most states police officers can register their private vehicles and restrict access to their actual address by using a state address or the address of the police department or there will be a block preventing any access beyond the registered owners name. If a records check comes back demonstrating the plate belongs to a restricted address that is likely a car belonging to a police officer. Who knows, it is possible that we will get an officer using a city or state vehicle while visiting the bar.

As for the cost, I have worked with ABC previously and each time we have worked out a fair agreement in compensation for my time and that of my staff. Just prior to 9/11 we were scheduled to shoot a story with ABC. Our agreement was for \$7,500 with two of my staff completely available for up to one month shooting the story. I have included a prior abc contract. I would be willing to accept similar terms to get the story done. We can adjust the figures up or down depending on how long we expect to shoot etc. for now, let's agree that we are both interested in documenting this story comprehensively and carefully. Therefore, I am willing to be flexible with the use of my staff and our time. Let's come to some agreement that's fair and move this along. my suggestion is that we break the investigation into two parts. The scouting can compose a one side of the investigation and the actual surveillance and fieldwork will, once we know what we have. We can send Greg Slate up to Chicago for a couple of weeks to see what he can locate by way of police off-duty activities at bars. If Greg comes up with nothing and can't find any bars (an unlikely scenario) we can shut this down without bringing everybody else to Chicago. However if Greg can locate what we know it exists, bars were cops over drink, we move in with the camera crew and document the conduct with video. Let me know what you think as I said I am flexible.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ruppel, Glenn A" <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>

Sent: 5/23/2007 12:12:45 PM

To: Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>

Subject: The latest

Diop,

I just got out of a meeting where I got a tentative go-ahead, but there were some concerns. Specifically, they hope that with advance scouting of bars we can get a better idea of what we will actually be able to see and document; can we get a rough estimate of how many drinks someone has had...are there instances where someone is clearly impaired, or is finding that a 'needle in a haystack'...will we be able to tell that they are indeed cops so that we don't waste a lot of time watching civilians? If we can get more details on this through some advance legwork, they will feel more comfortable about going ahead.

Also once we do start shooting, they felt it important that I, or someone else from 20/20, be inside the bar as well with your guys.

Two other questions:

- is it worth checking out the bar where the beating incident took place?
- other than the production cost of the cameras, and other location expenses such as alcohol purchases, is there anything else we need to budget for?

Thanks,

- Glenn

ABC00200

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S36

From: Diop Kamau <kamau@policeabuse.com>
Sent: Friday, July 20, 2007 4:33 PM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>
Cc: Greg Slate <gslate@policeabuse.org>
Subject:

Glen we are ready to move on this story with pre investigation. where are we on funds? we are less than 30 days out. can you give me a call 850-284-2800. I will need flights and hotel res.

Ksmsu

DECLARATION OF NATHAN SIEGEL
EXHIBIT S37

From: Kamau <dkamau@policeabuse.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 14, 2007 10:01 AM
To: Ruppel, Glenn A <Glenn.A.Ruppel@abc.com>; Greg Slate <gslate@policeabuse.org>
Subject: Re: Chicago

Dear Glenn: I have been monitoring the bar checks with Greg over the last 24 hours. I don't think we can do better than what he got in the first hour evidenced by the attached photograph. You've got on-duty police officers and off-duty officers at a drinking establishment that they drove to in city vehicles. Several of the officers were armed with their weapons visible as they were consuming alcohol. Irrespective of whether the officers go out and run over somebody the city and the individual officers are way out on a limb. I doubt that the city has a policy allowing officers to drink any alcoholic beverages while operating a city-owned vehicle or other equipment. Greg actually follow the officers from this precinct to the bar/restaurant.

I don't see any benefit in waiting several weeks or months to start the investigation. Would you chat with the folks on your side and give me some feedback later today or tomorrow. I think we should move on this now while things are going our way. This cannot be done as a two-man operation. Apparently Greg witnessed one of the officers drunk and vomiting in the bathroom while wearing his service revolver and bulletproof vest. With how other team members available Greg was limited in what he was able to shoot. With a full team I believe we can documents of extraordinary conduct. You can reach me at 850-284-2800 with your thoughts. I look forward to speaking with you

-----Original Message-----

From: [Greg Slate](#)
Date: 8/13/2007 10:05:13 PM
To: glenn.a.ruppel@abc.com
Cc: [Diop Kamau](#)
Subject: Chicago

Glenn,

I left you a message on your voicemail. The attached photo is of a group of officers drinking at this restaurant. The two female officers in uniform are standing by the table of a group of male officers who shared a carafe of a mixed drink and beers. The african-american officer seated to the right in the photo is drinking from his beer glass in the picture. One of the officers at the table, who was wearing his gun, vomited in the toilet in the bathroom and in the parking lot before leaving with another officer in an unmarked police vehicle with LEO plates and lights. All of them left in police cars. At least once they were playing with their lights and microphone in the parking lot. I was able to get their plates and them leaving on video. You definitely need more people to do this right.

Greg

ABC00240

FREE Animations for your email - by IncrediMail!

[Click Here!](#)

